arXiv:1509.02886v1 [math.GR] 9 Sep 2015

CROSS-CONNECTIONS OF COMPLETELY SIMPLE SEMIGROUPS[*]

Azeef Muhammed P. A. [†]
Department Of Mathematics, University Of Kerala,
Trivandrum, Kerala-695581, India.
azeefp@gmail.com

A. R. Rajan [‡]
Department Of Mathematics, University Of Kerala,
Trivandrum, Kerala-695581, India.
arrunivker@yahoo.com

September, 2015

Abstract

A completely simple semigroup S is a semigroup without zero which has no proper ideals and contains a primitive idempotent. It is known that S is a regular semigroup and any completely simple semigroup is isomorphic to the Rees matrix semigroup $\mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ (cf. [8]). In the study of structure theory of regular semigroups, K.S.S. Nambooripad introduced the concept of normal categories to construct the semigroup from its principal left(right) ideals using cross-connections. A normal category $\mathcal{C}$ is a small category with subobjects wherein each object of the category has an associated idempotent normal cone and each morphism admits a normal factorization. A cross-connection between two normal categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a *local isomorphism* $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$ where $N^*\mathcal{C}$ is the normal dual of the category $\mathcal{C}$.
In this paper, we identify the normal categories associated with a completely simple semigroup $S = \mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ and show that the semigroup of normal cones $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $G^\Lambda \ltimes \Lambda$. We characterize the cross-connections in this case and show that each sandwich matrix P correspond to a cross-connection. Further we use each of these cross-connections to give a representation of the completely simple semigroup as a cross-connection semigroup.

Keywords : Normal Category, Completely simple semigroup, Normal cones, Cross-connections, Sandwich matrix.
AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 20M17, 20M10, 20M50.

1 Introduction

In the study of the structure theory of regular semigroups, T.E. Hall (cf. [3]) used the ideal structure of the regular semigroup to analyse its structure. P.A. Grillet (cf. [2]) refined Hall's theory to abstractly characterize the ideals as *regular partially ordered sets* and constructing the fundamental image of the regular semigroup as a cross-connection semigroup. K.S.S. Nambooripad (cf. [7]) generalized the idea to any arbitrary regular semigroups by characterizing the ideals

---

[*] Some of the results of this paper was presented at the International Conference in Algebra and its Applications, Aligarh Muslim University, India held in December 2014.

[†] The author wishes to acknowledge the financial support (via JRF and SRF) of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India in the preparation of this article.

[‡] The author acknowledges the financial support of the Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment, Trivandrum (via the award of Emeritus scientist) in the preparation of this article.

as *normal categories.* A normal category $\mathcal{C}$ is a small category with subobjects wherein each object of the category has an associated idempotent normal cone and each morphism admits a normal factorization. All the normal cones in a normal category form a regular semigroup $T\mathcal{C}$ known as the semigroup of normal cones. The principal left(right) ideals of a regular semigroup S with partial right(left) translations as morphisms form a normal category $\mathcal{L}(S)$. A cross-connection between two normal categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a *local isomorphism* $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$ where $N^*\mathcal{C}$ is the normal dual of the category $\mathcal{C}$. A cross-conection Γ determines a cross-connection semigroup $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ and conversely every regular semigroup is isomorphic to a cross-connection semigroup for a suitable cross-connection.

A completely simple semigroup is a regular semigroup without zero which has no proper ideals and contains a primitive idempotent. It is known that any completely simple semigroup is isomorphic to the Rees matrix semigroup $\mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ where G is a group I and Λ are sets and $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ is a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix with entries in G(cf. [8]). Then $\mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P] = G \times I \times \Lambda$ and the binary operation is given by

$$(a, i, \lambda)(b, j, \mu) = (ap_{\lambda j}b, i, \mu).$$

In this paper, we characterize the normal categories involved in the construction of a completely simple semigroup as a cross-connection semigroup. We show that the category of principal left ideals of S - $\mathcal{L}(S)$ has Λ as its set of objects and G as the set of morphisms between any two objects. We observe that it forms a normal category and we characterize the semigroup of normal cones arising from this normal category. We show that this semigroup is equal to the semi-direct product of $G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda$. We characterize the principal cones in this category and show that the principal cones form a regular sub-semigroup of $G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda$. We also show for $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma}_1, \lambda_k), \gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma}_2, \lambda_l) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$, $\gamma_1 \mathscr{L} \gamma_2$ if and only if $\lambda_k = \lambda_l$ and $\gamma_1 \mathscr{R} \gamma_2$ if and only if $\bar{\gamma}_1 G = \bar{\gamma}_2 G$. Further we characterize the cross-conncetions in this case and show that different cross-connections correspond to different sandwich matrices P. We also use these cross-connections to give a representation of the completely simple semigroup S as a cross-connection semigroup $S\Gamma$ wherein each element of the semigroup is represented as a normal cone pair (γ, δ) and multiplication of elements is a semi-direct product multiplication.

2 Preliminaries

In the sequel, we assume familiarity with the definitions and elementary concepts of category theory (cf. [5]). The definitions and results on cross-connections are as in [7]. For a category $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $v\mathcal{C}$ the set of objects of $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 1. A *preorder* $\mathcal{P}$ is a category such that for any $p, p' \in v\mathcal{P}$, the hom-set $\mathcal{P}(p, p')$ contains atmost one morphism.

In this case the relation $\subseteq$ on the class $v\mathcal{P}$ of objects of $\mathcal{P}$ defined by $p \subseteq p' \iff \mathcal{P}(p, p') \neq \emptyset$ is a quasi-order. $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be a strict preorder if $\subseteq$ is a partial order.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category and $\mathcal{P}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ is called a *category with subobjects* if $\mathcal{P}$ is a strict preorder with $v\mathcal{P} = v\mathcal{C}$ such that every $f \in \mathcal{P}$ is a monomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$ and if $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$ and if $f = hg$ for some $h \in \mathcal{C}$, then $h \in \mathcal{P}$. In a category with subobjects, if $f : c \to d$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{P}$, then f is said to be an *inclusion.* And we denote this inclusion by $j(c, d)$.

Definition 3. A morphism $e : d \to c$ is called a *retraction* if $c \subseteq d$ and $j(c, d)e = 1_c$.

Definition 4. A *normal factorization* of a morphism $f \in \mathcal{C}(c, d)$ is a factorization of the form $f = euj$ where $e : c \to c'$ is a retraction, $u : c' \to d'$ is an isomorphism and $j = j(d', d)$ for some $c', d' \in v\mathcal{C}$ with $c' \subseteq c$, $d' \subseteq d$.

Definition 5. Let $d \in v\mathcal{C}$. A map $\gamma : v\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is called a *cone from the base* $v\mathcal{C}$ *to the vertex* d if $\gamma(c) \in \mathcal{C}(c,d)$ for all $c \in v\mathcal{C}$ and whenever $c' \subseteq c$ then $j(c',c)\gamma(c) = \gamma(c')$. The cone γ is said to be *normal* if there exists $c \in v\mathcal{C}$ such that $\gamma(c) : c \to c_\gamma$ is an isomorphism.

Given the cone γ we denote by c_γ the the *vertex* of γ and for each $c \in v\mathcal{C}$, the morphism $\gamma(c) : c \to c_\gamma$ is called the *component* of γ at c. We define $M\gamma = \{c \in \mathcal{C} \,:\, \gamma(c) \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$.

Definition 6. A *normal category* is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ satisfying the following :

1. $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ is a category with subobjects.
2. Any morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ has a normal factorization.
3. For each $c \in v\mathcal{C}$ there is a normal cone σ with vertex c and $\sigma(c) = 1_c$.

Theorem 1. (cf. [7]) Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ be a normal category and let $T\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all normal cones in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $T\mathcal{C}$ is a regular semigroup with product defined as follows :
For $\gamma, \sigma \in T\mathcal{C}$.

$$(\gamma * \sigma)(a) = \gamma(a)(\sigma(c_\gamma))^\circ \tag{1}$$

where $(\sigma(c_\gamma))^\circ$ is the epimorphic part of the $\sigma(c_\gamma)$. Then it can be seen that $\gamma * \sigma$ is a normal cone. $T\mathcal{C}$ is called the *semigroup of normal cones* in $\mathcal{C}$.

For each $\gamma \in T\mathcal{C}$, define $H(\gamma; -)$ on the objects and morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ as follows. For each $c \in v\mathcal{C}$ and for each $g \in \mathcal{C}(c,d)$, define

$$H(\gamma; c) = \{\gamma * f^\circ : f \in \mathcal{C}(c_\gamma, c)\} \tag{2a}$$

$$H(\gamma; g) : \gamma * f^\circ \mapsto \gamma * (fg)^\circ \tag{2b}$$

Definition 7. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a normal category, then the *normal dual* of $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $N^*\mathcal{C}$, is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^*$ with vertex set

$$vN^*\mathcal{C} = \{H(\epsilon; -) \,:\, \epsilon \in E(T\mathcal{C})\} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^*$ is the category of all functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to **Set**(cf. [5]).

Theorem 2. (cf. [7]) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a normal category. Then $N^*\mathcal{C}$ is a normal category and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(T\mathcal{C})$ as normal categories. For $\gamma, \gamma' \in T\mathcal{C}$, $H(\gamma; -) = H(\gamma'; -)$ if and only if there is a unique isomorphism $h : c_{\gamma'} \to c_\gamma$, such that $\gamma = \gamma' * h$. And $\gamma \mathscr{R} \gamma' \iff H(\gamma; -) = H(\gamma'; -)$.
To every morphism $\sigma : H(\epsilon; -) \to H(\epsilon'; -)$ in $N^*\mathcal{C}$, there is a unique $\hat{\sigma} : c_{\epsilon'} \to c_\epsilon$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the component of the natural transformation σ at $c \in v\mathcal{C}$ is the map given by :

$$\sigma(c) : \epsilon * f^\circ \mapsto \epsilon' * (\hat{\sigma} f)^\circ \tag{4}$$

Also $H(\gamma; -) = H(\gamma'; -)$ implies $M\gamma = M\gamma'$ where $M\gamma = \{c \in \mathcal{C} \,:\, \gamma(c) \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$; and hence we will denote $M\gamma$ by $MH(\gamma; -)$.

Definition 8. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small category with subobjects. Then an *ideal* $\langle c \rangle$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects are subobjects of c in $\mathcal{C}$. It is called the principal ideal generated by c.

Definition 9. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be normal categories. Then a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is said to be a *local isomorphism* if F is inclusion preserving , fully faithful and for each $c \in v\mathcal{C}$, $F_{|\langle c \rangle}$ is an isomorphism of the ideal $\langle c \rangle$ onto $\langle F(c) \rangle$.

Definition 10. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be normal categories. A *cross-connection* is a triplet $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}; \Gamma)$ where $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$ is a local isomorphism such that for every $c \in v\mathcal{C}$, there is some $d \in v\mathcal{D}$ such that $c \in M\Gamma(d)$.

Remark 3. Given a cross-conection Γ from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, there is a *dual cross-connection* Δ from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ denoted by $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}; \Delta)$.

Remark 4. Given a cross-connection $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$, we get a unique bifunctor $\Gamma(-,-) : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to$ **Set** defined by $\Gamma(c,d) = \Gamma(d)(c)$ and $\Gamma(f,g) = (\Gamma(d)(f))(\Gamma(g)(c')) = (\Gamma(g)(c))(\Gamma(d')(f))$ for all $(c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}$ and $(f,g) : (c,d) \to (c',d')$. Similarly corresponding to $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \to N^*\mathcal{D}$, we have $\Delta(-,-) : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to$ **Set** defined by $\Delta(c,d) = \Delta(c)(d)$ and $\Delta(f,g) = (\Delta(c)(g))(\Delta(f)(d')) = (\Delta(f)(d))(\Delta(c')(g))$ for all $(c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}$ and $(f,g) : (c,d) \to (c',d')$.

Theorem 5. (cf. [7]) Let $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}; \Gamma)$ be a cross-conection and let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}; \Delta)$ be the dual cross-connection. Then there is a natural isomorphism χ_Γ between $\Gamma(-,-)$ and $\Delta(-,-)$ such that $\chi_\Gamma : \Gamma(c,d) \to \Delta(c,d)$ is a bijection.

Definition 11. Given a cross-connection Γ of $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{C}$. Define

$$U\Gamma = \bigcup \{ \quad \Gamma(c,d) : (c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}\} \tag{5a}$$

$$U\Delta = \bigcup \{ \quad \Delta(c,d) : (c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}\} \tag{5b}$$

Theorem 6. (cf. [7]) For any cross-connection $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$, $U\Gamma$ is a regular subsemigroup of $T\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(U\Gamma)$. And $U\Delta$ is a regular subsemigroup of $T\mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(U\Delta)$.

Definition 12. For a cross-connection $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$, we shall say that $\gamma \in U\Gamma$ is *linked* to $\delta \in U\Delta$ if there is a $(c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma \in \Gamma(c,d)$ and $\delta = \chi_\Gamma(c,d)(\gamma)$.

Theorem 7. (cf. [7]) Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$ be a cross-connection and let

$$\tilde{S}\Gamma = \{ \, (\gamma, \delta) \in U\Gamma \times U\Delta : (\gamma, \delta) \text{ is linked } \}$$

Then $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ is a regular semigroup with the binary operation defined by

$$(\gamma, \delta) \circ (\gamma', \delta') = (\gamma.\gamma', \delta'.\delta)$$

for all $(\gamma, \delta), (\gamma', \delta') \in \tilde{S}\Gamma$. Then $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ is a sub-direct product of $U\Gamma$ and $U\Delta^{\text{op}}$ and is called the *cross-connection semigroup* determined by Γ.

Let S be a regular semigroup. The category of principal left ideals of S is described as follows. Since every principal left ideal in S has at least one idempotent generator, we may write objects (vertexes) in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ as Se for $e \in E(S)$. Morphisms $\rho : Se \to Sf$ are right translations $\rho = \rho(e,s,f)$ where $s \in eSf$ and ρ maps $x \mapsto xs$. Thus

$$v\mathcal{L}(S) = \{Se : e \in E(S)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(S) = \{\rho(e,s,f) : e, f \in E(S), \ s \in eSf\}. \tag{6}$$

Proposition 8. (cf. [7]) Let S be a regular semigroup. Then $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is a normal category. $\rho(e,u,f) = \rho(e',v,f')$ if and only if $e \mathscr{L} e'$, $f \mathscr{L} f'$, $u \in eSf$, $v \in e'Sf'$ and $v = e'u$. Let $\rho = \rho(e,u,f)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{L}(S)$. For any $g \in R_u \cap \omega(e)$ and $h \in E(L_u)$,

$$\rho = \rho(e,g,g)\rho(g,u,h)\rho(h,h,f)$$

is a normal factorization of ρ.

Proposition 9. (cf. [7]) Let S be a regular semigroup, $a \in S$ and $f \in E(L_a)$. Then for each $e \in E(S)$, let $\rho^a(Se) = \rho(e, ea, f)$. Then ρ^a is a normal cone in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ with vertex Sa called the principal cone generated by a. $M_{\rho^a} = \{Se : e \in E(R_a)\}$. ρ^a is an idempotent in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ iff $a \in E(S)$. The mapping $a \mapsto \rho^a$ is a homomorphism from S to $T\mathcal{L}(S)$. Further if S is a monoid, then S is isomorphic to $T\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Remark 10. If S^{op} denote the opposite semigroup of S with multiplication given by $a \circ b = b.a$ where the right hand side is the product in S, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}(S^{\mathrm{op}}) = \mathcal{R}(S)$ and $\mathcal{R}(S^{\mathrm{op}}) = \mathcal{L}(S)$. Using these it is possible to translate any statement about the category $\mathcal{R}(S)$ of right ideals of a semigroup S as a statement regarding the category $\mathcal{L}(S^{\mathrm{op}})$ of left ideals of the opposite semigroup S^{op} and vice versa. Thus given a regular semigroup S, we have the following normal category of principal right ideals $\mathcal{R}(S)$ and the dual statements of the Proposition 8 holds true for $\mathcal{R}(S)$.

$$v\mathcal{R}(S) = \{R(e) = eS : e \in E(S)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(S) = \{\kappa(e,s,f) : e,f \in E(S),\ s \in fSe\}. \tag{7}$$

3 Normal categories in a completely simple semigroup.

Given a completely simple semigroup S, it is known that S is isomorphic to a Rees matrix semigroup $S = \mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ where G is a group I and Λ are sets and $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ is a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix with entries in G(cf. [8]). Note that $S = G \times I \times \Lambda$ and the binary operation is given by

$$(a, i, \lambda)(b, j, \mu) = (a p_{\lambda j} b, i, \mu).$$

It is easy to see that $(g_1, i_1, \lambda_1)\mathscr{L}(g_2, i_2, \lambda_2)$ if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ (cf. [4]). Also (a, i, λ) is an idempotent if and only if $a = (p_{\lambda i})^{-1}$. Observe that in this case, every principal left ideal Se where $e = (a, j, \lambda)$ is an idempotent can be described as $Se = \{(g, i, \lambda) : g \in G,\ i \in I\}$. So Se depends only on λ and we may write $Se = G \times I \times \{\lambda\}$. Thus

$$v\mathcal{L}(S) = \{G \times I \times \{\lambda\} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

Henceforth we will denote the left ideal $Se = G \times I \times \lambda$ by $\bar{\lambda}$ and the set $v\mathcal{L}(S)$ will be denoted by $\bar{\Lambda}$.
Recall that any morphism from $Se = \bar{\lambda}_1$ to $Sf = \bar{\lambda}_2$ will be of the form $\rho(e, u, f)$ where $u \in eSf$ (see equation 6). Now for $e = (a, j, \lambda_1)$ and $f = (b, k, \lambda_2)$, it is easy to see that $eSf = \{(k, j, \lambda_2) : k \in G\}$. Also for $u = (k, j, \lambda_2) \in eSf$ and $(h, i, \lambda_1) \in \bar{\lambda}_1$, we have $(h, i, \lambda_1)(k, j, \lambda_2) = (h p_{\lambda_1 j} k, i, \lambda_2)$. Hence for $(h, i, \lambda_1) \in \bar{\lambda}_1$, the morphism $\rho(e, u, f)$ maps $(h, i, \lambda_1) \mapsto (h p_{\lambda_1 j} k, i, \lambda_2)$ where $u = (k, j, \lambda_2)$. Observe that morphism involves only the right translation of the group element h to $h p_{\lambda_1 j} k$. And hence the morphism is essentially $h \mapsto hg$ such that $g \in G$ for some arbitrary $g \in G$ (taking $p_{\lambda_1 j} k = g$). And so any morphism from $\bar{\lambda}_1$ to $\bar{\lambda}_2$ is determined by an element $g \in G$. We may denote this morphism by $\rho(g)$ so that

$$\rho(g) : (h, i, \lambda_1) \mapsto (hg, i, \lambda_2).$$

Hence the set of morphisms from $\bar{\lambda}_1$ to $\bar{\lambda}_2$ can be represented by the set G.

Proposition 11. Every morphism in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By the discussion above any morphism $\rho(g) : \bar{\lambda}_1 \to \bar{\lambda}_2$ in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is given by $(h, i, \lambda_1) \mapsto (h p_{\lambda_1 j} k, i, \lambda_2)$. Now we show that for $g' = p_{\lambda_2 i}^{-1} k^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 j}^{-1}$, $\rho(g') : \bar{\lambda}_2 \to \bar{\lambda}_1$ is the right inverse of $\rho(g)$. To see that observe that $\rho(g)\rho(g') : (h, i, \lambda_1) \mapsto (h p_{\lambda_1 j} k, i, \lambda_2)(p_{\lambda_2 i}^{-1} k^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 j}^{-1}, i, \lambda_1) = (h p_{\lambda_1 j} k p_{\lambda_2 i} p_{\lambda_2 i}^{-1} k^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 j}^{-1}, i, \lambda_1) = (h, i, \lambda_1)$. Also for $g'' = k^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 j}^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 i}^{-1}$, we see that $\rho(g'')\rho(g) : (h, i, \lambda_2) \mapsto (h k^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 j}^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 i}^{-1}, i, \lambda_1)\ (p_{\lambda_1 j} k, i, \lambda_2) = (h k^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 j}^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 i}^{-1} p_{\lambda_1 i} p_{\lambda_1 j} k, i, \lambda_2) = (h, i, \lambda_2)$. And so $\rho(g'') : \bar{\lambda}_2 \to \bar{\lambda}_1$ is the left inverse of $\rho(g)$. Hence every morphism is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{L}(S)$. ☐

Remark 12. Since every morphism in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is an isomorphism, $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is a *groupoid*. So in $\mathcal{L}(S)$, all inclusions are identities and the epimorphic component of every morphism will be the morphism itself.

Now we characterize the normal cones in $\mathcal{L}(S)$. Recall that a cone in $\mathcal{C}$ with vertex $d \in v\mathcal{C}$ is a map $\gamma : v\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $\gamma(c) \in \mathcal{C}(c, d)$ for all $c \in v\mathcal{C}$ and whenever $c' \subseteq c$ then $j(c', c)\gamma(c) = \gamma(c')$. Since there are no non trivial inclusions in $\mathcal{L}(S)$, the second condition is redundant. So every cone in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ with vertex $\bar{\mu}$ is a mapping $\gamma : v\mathcal{L}(S) \to \mathcal{L}(S)$ such that for any $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\Lambda}$, $\gamma(\bar{\lambda}) : \bar{\lambda} \to \bar{\mu}$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{L}(S)$. Let $\gamma(\bar{\lambda}) = \rho(g)$ for some $g = g(\lambda) \in G$. Now for any other $\bar{\lambda}_2 \in \bar{\Lambda}$, we have $\gamma(\bar{\lambda}_2) = \rho(h)$ for some $h \in G$. Since no relation between g and h is required in describing cones in this case; any choice of $g(\lambda) \in G$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ produces a normal cone. Thus a cone with vertex $\bar{\lambda}$ can be represented as $(\bar{\gamma}, \lambda)$ where $\bar{\gamma} \in G^{\Lambda}$. Also since every morphism is an isomorphism, every cone in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ will be a normal cone.
Now G^{Λ} has the structure of a group as the direct product of G over Λ. And Λ (coming from the Rees matrix semigroup) is a right zero semigroup.

Lemma 13. *$G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$ is a semigroup with the binary operation defined as follows. Given $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)$, $\gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l) \in G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$*

$$\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l) \tag{8}$$

where $g_k = \bar{\gamma_2}(\lambda_k)$ and $\bar{g_k} = (g_k, g_k, g_k, ...) \in G^{\Lambda}$.

Proof. Observe $(\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l) \in G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$ and so clearly $*$ is a well-defined binary operation on $G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$. Now if $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)$, $\gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l)$, $\gamma_3 = (\bar{\gamma_3}, \lambda_m) \in G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$; then

$$(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) * \gamma_3 = (\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l) * (\bar{\gamma_3}, \lambda_m) = ((\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}).\bar{h_l}, \lambda_m)$$

where $h_l = \bar{\gamma_3}(\lambda_l)$. And as $\bar{\gamma_2}\bar{h_l}(\lambda_k) = \bar{g_k}.\bar{h_l}$,

$$\gamma_1 * (\gamma_2 * \gamma_3) = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k) * (\bar{\gamma_2}\bar{h_l}, \lambda_m) = (\bar{\gamma_1}.(\bar{g_k}.\bar{h_l}), \lambda_m)$$

Now since $(\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}).\bar{h_l} = \bar{\gamma_1}.(\bar{g_k}.\bar{h_l}) = \bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}.\bar{h_l}$ (being multiplication in the group G^{Λ} and hence associative); we have

$$(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) * \gamma_3 = \gamma_1 * (\gamma_2 * \gamma_3) \text{ for all } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda.$$

Hence $*$ is associative and so $(G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda, *)$ is a semigroup. ☐

Proposition 14. *The semigroup $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ of all normal cones in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is isomorphic to $G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$.*

Proof. Since a normal cone with vertex $\bar{\lambda}$ can be represented as $(\bar{\gamma}, \lambda)$ where $\bar{\gamma} \in G^{\Lambda}$, $T\mathcal{L}(S) \subseteq G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$. Conversely since any $(\bar{\gamma}, \lambda) \in G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$ represents a cone in $\mathcal{L}(S)$, $G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda \subseteq T\mathcal{L}(S)$ and hence $G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda = T\mathcal{L}(S)$.
Now the multiplication in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ is defined as for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2)(\lambda) = \gamma_1(\lambda)(\gamma_2(c_\gamma))^\circ$ (see equation 1) for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$. Let $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)$, $\gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l) \in G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$, $\gamma_2(c_{\gamma_1}) = (\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l)$ where $g_k = \bar{\gamma_2}(\lambda_k)$ and $\bar{g_k} = (g_k, g_k, g_k, ...) \in G^{\Lambda}$. And since the epimorphic component of any morphism in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is itself $\gamma_2(c_{\gamma_1})^\circ = (\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l)$.
Therefore

$$\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 = \gamma_1.(\gamma_2(c_{\gamma_1}))^\circ = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k).(\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l) = (\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l).$$

And hence $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ is isomorphic to $G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda$. ☐

We further observe that the semigroup obtained here can be realised as a semi-direct product of semigroups.

Definition 13. Let S and T be semigroups. A *(left) action* of T on S is a map $\psi : T \times S \to S$, satisfying: (i) $(t_1t_2, s)\psi = (t_1, (t_2, s)\psi)\psi$ and
(ii) $(t, s_1s_2)\psi = (t, s_1)\psi(t, s_2)\psi$ for all $t, t_1, t_2 \in T$ and $s, s_1, s_2 \in S$. We denote $(t, s)\psi = t * s$.

Definition 14. Let S and T be semigroups. The *semidirect product* $S \ltimes T$ of S and T , with respect to a left action ψ of T on S is defined as $S \times T$ with multiplication given by

$$(s_1, t_1)(s_2, t_2) \ = \ (s_1\,(t_1 * s_2), t_1 t_2)$$

It is well known that $S \ltimes T$ is a semigroup. It is trivially verified that the idempotents in $S \ltimes T$ are the pairs (s, t) such that $t \in E(T)$ and $s(t * s) = s$.
Now we show that the semigroup of normal cones in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $G^\Lambda \ltimes \Lambda$ of G^Λ and Λ.
Firstly, we look at the semigroups G^Λ and Λ. Since G is a group; G^Λ will form a group under component-wise multiplication defined as follows.
For $(g_1, g_2 ...)$,$(h_1, h_2 ...) \in G^\Lambda$

$$(g_1, g_2 ...)(h_1, h_2 ...) \ = \ (g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2, ...)$$

and hence in particular G^Λ is also a semigroup. The set Λ admits a right zero semigroup structure and hence has an in-built multiplication given by $\lambda_k \lambda_l = \lambda_l$ for every $\lambda_k, \lambda_l \in \Lambda$.
Now we define a left action of Λ on G^Λ as follows. For $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$ and $\bar{g} = (g_1, g_2, ...) \in G^\Lambda$,

$$\lambda_k * \bar{g} \ = \ (g_k, g_k, ...) \tag{9}$$

where $g_k = \bar{g}(\lambda_k)$.

Lemma 15. The function as defined in equation 9 is a left action of Λ on G^Λ.

Proof. Clearly the function is well-defined. Now for $\bar{g} = (g_1, g_2, ...) \in G^\Lambda$ and $\lambda_k, \lambda_l \in \Lambda$, since $\lambda_k \lambda_l = \lambda_l$,

$$\lambda_k \lambda_l * \bar{g} = \lambda_k \lambda_l * (g_1, g_2, ...) = \lambda_l * (g_1, g_2, ...) = (g_l, g_l, ...).$$

Also

$$\lambda_k * (\lambda_l * (\bar{g})) \ = \ \lambda_k * (\lambda_l * (g_1, g_2, ...)) \ = \ \lambda_k * (g_l, g_l, ...) \ = \ (g_l, g_l, ...).$$

Hence $\lambda_k \lambda_l * \bar{g} \ = \ \lambda_k * (\lambda_l * (\bar{g}))$ for $\bar{g} \in G^\Lambda$ and $\lambda_k, \lambda_l \in \Lambda$.
Then for $\bar{g} = (g_1, g_2, ...), \bar{h} = (h_1, h_2, ...) \in G^\Lambda$, and $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$,

$$\lambda_k * (\bar{g}\bar{h}) \ = \ \lambda_k * ((g_1, g_2 ...)(h_1, h_2 ...),)) \ = \ \lambda_k * (g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2, ...) \ = \ (g_k h_k, g_k h_k, ...).$$

And
$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda_k * \bar{g})(\lambda_k * \bar{h}) \ &= \ (\lambda_k * (g_1, g_2, ...))(\lambda_k * (h_1, h_2, ...)) \\ &= \ (g_k, g_k, ...)(h_k, h_k, ...) \ = \ (g_k h_k, g_k h_k, ...).\end{aligned}$$

And hence $\lambda_k * (\bar{g}\bar{h}) \ = \ (\lambda_k * \bar{g})(\lambda_k * \bar{h})$ for $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in G^\Lambda$, and $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$.
Thus equation 9 is a left semigroup action of Λ on G^Λ. □

Proposition 16. *$T\mathcal{L}(S)$ is the semi-direct product $G^\Lambda \ltimes \Lambda$ with respect to the left action above.*

Proof. The semi-direct product of $G^\Lambda \ltimes \Lambda$ with respect to the left action is given as follows. For $(\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)$, $(\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l) \in G^\Lambda \times \Lambda$,

$$(\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k) * (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l) \ = \ (\bar{\gamma_1}.(\lambda_k * \bar{\gamma_2}), \lambda_k \lambda_l) \ = \ (\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}, \lambda_l)$$

where $g_k = \bar{\gamma_2}(\lambda_k)$ and $\bar{g_k} = (g_k, g_k, ...)$. So if $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)$ and $\gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l)$ then the multiplication defined above is exactly the same multiplication defined in equation 8 and hence $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ is the semi-direct product $G^\Lambda \ltimes \Lambda$ with respect to the left action above. □

Now we proceed to characterize the principal cones in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Proposition 17. The principal cones in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ forms a regular subsemigroup of $G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda$.

Proof. Given $a = (g_a, i_a, \lambda_a)$, the principal cone ρ^a (see Proposition 9) will be a normal cone with vertex $\bar{\lambda_a}$ such that each left ideal $\bar{\lambda_k}$ is right multiplied by (g_a, i_a, λ_a). But since the morphisms in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ involves right multiplication by the product of a sandwich element of the matrix and the group element; at each $\bar{\lambda_k} \in \Lambda$, $\rho^a(\bar{\lambda_k}) = p_{\lambda_k i_a} g_a$ (where the sandwich matrix $P = (p_{\lambda i})_{\lambda \in \Lambda, i \in I}$).
Hence ρ^a can be represented by $(p_{\lambda_1 i_a} g_a, p_{\lambda_2 i_a} g_a, p_{\lambda_3 i_a} g_a, ...; \lambda_a) \in G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda$. Observe that $\bar{\rho^a} \in G^{\Lambda}$ is the right translation of the i_a-th column of the sandwich matrix P with group element g_a.
Now $\rho^a.\rho^b = (p_{\lambda_1 i_a} g_a, p_{\lambda_2 i_a} g_a, p_{\lambda_3 i_a} g_a, ..., \lambda_a).(p_{\lambda_1 i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_2 i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_3 i_b} g_b, ..., \lambda_b)$

$$= (p_{\lambda_1 i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_2 i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_3 i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, ..., \lambda_b).$$

Also $a.b = (g_a, i_a, \lambda_a)(g_b, i_b, \lambda_b) = (g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, i_a, \lambda_b)$.
So $\rho^{ab} = (p_{\lambda_1 i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_2 i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_3 i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, ..., \lambda_b)$.
Hence $\rho^a.\rho^b = \rho^{ab}$ and consequently the map $a \mapsto \rho^a$ from $S \to T\mathcal{L}(S)$ is a homomorphism. So the set of principal cones in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ forms a subsemigroup of $T\mathcal{L}(S)$. And since S is regular , the semigroup of principal cones forms a regular subsemigroup of $G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda$. ☐

If S is a regular monoid, then it is known that S is isomorphic to $T\mathcal{L}(S)$(see Proposition 9). In general, if S is not a monoid this may not be true. But always there exists a homomorphism from $S \to T\mathcal{L}(S)$ mapping $a \mapsto \rho^a$ which may not be injective or onto. The map is not injective when S is a rectangular band. And the above discussion tells us that if S is a completely simple semigroup, the map is never onto $T\mathcal{L}(S)$. But in the case of completely simple semigroups, there exists some special cases where this map is infact injective and consequently S can be realized as a subsemigroup of $T\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Theorem 18. $S = \mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of principal cones in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ if and only if for every $g \in G$ and $i_1 \neq i_2 \in I$, there exists $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$ such that $p_{\lambda_k i_1} \neq p_{\lambda_k i_2} g$.

Proof. As seen above, there exists a semigroup homomorphism from $\psi : S \to T\mathcal{L}(S)$ mapping $a \mapsto \rho^a$. Now S can be seen as a subsemigroup of $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ if and only if ψ is injective.
We claim ψ is injective only if for every $i_1 \neq i_2 \in I$ and every $g \in G$, there exists $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$ such that $p_{\lambda_k i_1} \neq p_{\lambda_k i_2} g$. Suppose not. .ie there exists $i_1 \neq i_2 \in I$ and a $g \in G$ such that $p_{\lambda_k i_1} = p_{\lambda_k i_2} g$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$. Then without loss of generality, assume $g = g_2 g_1^{-1}$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then $p_{\lambda_k i_1} = p_{\lambda_k i_2} g_2 g_1^{-1}$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G$. .ie $p_{\lambda_k i_1} g_1 = p_{\lambda_k i_2} g_2$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$. And hence if $a = (g_1, i_1, \lambda)$ and $b = (g_2, i_2, \lambda)$; then since $i_1 \neq i_2$, $a \neq b$. Then $\rho^a = (p_{\lambda_1 i_1} g_1, p_{\lambda_2 i_1} g_1, p_{\lambda_3 i_1} g_1, ..., \lambda)$ and $\rho^b = (p_{\lambda_1 i_2} g_2, p_{\lambda_2 i_2} g_2, p_{\lambda_3 i_2} g_2, ..., \lambda)$. And since $p_{\lambda_k i_1} g_1 = p_{\lambda_k i_2} g_2$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$, $a \neq b$ but $\rho^a = \rho^b$. And hence ψ is not injective. And hence S is not isomorphic to the semigroup of principal cones in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$.
Conversely suppose for every $i_1 \neq i_2 \in I$ and every $g \in G$, there exists $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$ such that $p_{\lambda_k i_1} \neq p_{\lambda_k i_2} g$, we need to show ψ is injective so that S is isomorphic to the semigroup of principal cones. Suppose if $a = (g_a, i_a, \lambda_a)$ and $b = (g_b, i_b, \lambda_b)$ and $\psi(a) = \psi(b)$. So $\rho^a = \rho^b$; .ie $(p_{\lambda_1 i_a} g_a, p_{\lambda_2 i_a} g_a, p_{\lambda_3 i_a} g_a, ..., \lambda_a) = (p_{\lambda_1 i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_2 i_b} g_b, p_{\lambda_3 i_b} g_b, ..., \lambda_b)$. So clearly $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$. And $p_{\lambda_k i_a} g_a = p_{\lambda_k i_b} g_b$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$. Now if $g_a \neq g_b$, then $p_{\lambda_k i_a} = p_{\lambda_k i_b} g_b g_a^{-1}$ and so $p_{\lambda_k i_a} = p_{\lambda_k i_b} g$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$(taking $g = g_b g_a^{-1}$). But this will contradict our supposition unless $i_a = i_b$. But then $p_{\lambda_k i_a} = p_{\lambda_k i_a} g$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$. Now this is possible only if $g = e$; which implies $g_b g_a^{-1} = e$ .ie $g_b = g_a$; which is again a contradiction. Hence $g_a = g_b$.
Now if $i_a \neq i_b$; then we have $p_{\lambda_k i_a} g_a = p_{\lambda_k i_b} g_b$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$. Then taking $g = g_b g_a^{-1}$; we have $p_{\lambda_k i_a} = p_{\lambda_k i_b} g$ for every $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$; which is again a contradiction to our supposition. Hence $i_a = i_b$.
So $(g_a, i_a, \lambda_a) = (g_b, i_b, \lambda_b)$ and so $a = b$. Thus $\rho^a = \rho^b$ implies $a = b$ making ψ injective. So if for every $i_1 \neq i_2 \in I$ and every $g \in G$, there exists $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$ such that $p_{\lambda_k i_1} \neq p_{\lambda_k i_2} g$, then S is isomorphic to the semigroup of principal cones. Hence the proof. ☐

Now we proceed to characterize the Green's relations in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Proposition 19. If $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k), \gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$, then $\gamma_1 \mathscr{L} \gamma_2$ if and only if $\lambda_k = \lambda_l$.

Proof. Suppose if $\lambda_k \neq \lambda_l$. Then for an arbitrary $\gamma = (\bar{\gamma}, \lambda_m) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$,

$$\gamma\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma}, \lambda_m)(\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k) = (\bar{\gamma}.\bar{g_m}, \lambda_k) \text{ where } g_m = \gamma_1(\bar{\lambda_m}).$$

Hence $\gamma\gamma_1 \in G^\Lambda \times \lambda_k$. And since $\bar{\gamma} \in G^\Lambda$ is arbitrary, $T\mathcal{L}(S)\gamma_1 = G^\Lambda \times \lambda_k$. Similarly $T\mathcal{L}(S)\gamma_2 = G^\Lambda \times \lambda_l$. And so $T\mathcal{L}(S)\gamma_1 \neq T\mathcal{L}(S)\gamma_2$.
Conversely if $\lambda_k = \lambda_l$, then $G^\Lambda \times \lambda_k = G^\Lambda \times \lambda_l$ and so $T\mathcal{L}(S)\gamma_1 = T\mathcal{L}(S)\gamma_2$. Thus $\gamma_1 \mathscr{L} \gamma_2$. Hence the proof. □

Now we proceed to get a characterization of Green's $\mathscr{R}$ relation in the semigroup $T\mathcal{L}(S)$. This will also give the characterization for the normal dual $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$. For this end, begin by observing that G^Λ is a group with component-wise multiplication defined as follows. For $(g_1, g_2...)$,$(h_1, h_2...) \in G^\Lambda$

$$(g_1, g_2...)(h_1, h_2...) \ = \ (g_1h_1, g_2h_2, ...)$$

Then G may be viewed as a subgroup (not necessarily normal) of G^Λ by identifying $g \mapsto (g, g, ...) \in G^\Lambda$. Then for some $\bar{\gamma} = (g_1, g_2...) \in G^\Lambda$ we look at the left coset $\bar{\gamma}G$ of G in G^Λ with respect to $\bar{\gamma}$. It is defined as

$$\bar{\gamma}G = \{(g_1g, g_2g, ...) \ : \ g \in G\}$$

Observe that these cosets form a partition of G^Λ. Now we show that these left cosets of G in G^Λ infact gives the characterization of Green's $\mathscr{R}$ relation in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$.
Let $\gamma = (\bar{\gamma}, \lambda_m) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$; then $\gamma_1\gamma = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)(\bar{\gamma}, \lambda_m) = (\bar{\gamma_1}.\bar{g_k}, \lambda_m)$ where $g_k = \gamma(\bar{\lambda_k})$. Hence $\gamma_1\gamma \in (\bar{\gamma_1}G) \times \Lambda$. And since $\gamma \in G^\Lambda \times \Lambda$ is arbitrary, both λ_m and g_k can be arbitrarily chosen. And hence $\gamma_1 T\mathcal{L}(S) = (\bar{\gamma_1}G) \times \Lambda$. And this gives us the following characterization of the $\mathscr{R}$ relation in $T\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Proposition 20. For $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k), \gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$, $\gamma_1 \mathscr{R} \gamma_2$ if and only if $\bar{\gamma_1}G = \bar{\gamma_2}G$.

Proof. Suppose $\bar{\gamma_1}G = \bar{\gamma_2}G$; then $(\bar{\gamma_1}G) \times \Lambda = (\bar{\gamma_2}G) \times \Lambda$ and by the above discussion $\gamma_1 T\mathcal{L}(S) = \gamma_2 T\mathcal{L}(S)$ and hence $\gamma_1 \mathscr{R} \gamma_2$.
Conversely suppose $\bar{\gamma_1}G \neq \bar{\gamma_2}G$. i.e there exists a $\gamma = (\bar{\gamma}, \lambda_m) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$ such that $\bar{\gamma} \in \bar{\gamma_1}G$ but $\bar{\gamma} \notin \bar{\gamma_2}G$. And hence $\gamma \in (\bar{\gamma_1}G) \times \Lambda$ but $\gamma \notin (\bar{\gamma_2}G) \times \Lambda$. And hence $\gamma_1 T\mathcal{L}(S) \neq \gamma_2 T\mathcal{L}(S)$. And so γ_1 and γ_2 are not $\mathscr{R}$ related.
Hence the proof. □

Remark 21. A very important remark is to be made. Recall from Theorem 2 that for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in T\mathcal{C}$ and if $\gamma_1 \mathscr{R} \gamma_2$, then $M\gamma_1 = M\gamma_2$. The discussion above provides a counter example for the converse. Observe that if we take $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}(S)$ where S is a completely simple semigroup, then by Remark 12, $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is a groupoid. And hence $M\gamma = v\mathcal{L}(S)$ for every $\gamma \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$. But by Proposition 20, for $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k), \gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$, $\gamma_1 \mathscr{R} \gamma_2$ if and only if $\bar{\gamma_1}G = \bar{\gamma_2}G$. So if we take $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$ such that $\bar{\gamma_1}G \neq \bar{\gamma_2}G$, then $M\gamma_1 = M\gamma_2 = \bar{\Lambda}$ but γ_1 is not $\mathscr{R}$ related to γ_2.

Now we proceed to describe the normal dual $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Proposition 22. The normal dual $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$ is a category such that $vN^*\mathcal{L}(S)$ is the left cosets of G in G^Λ and there is a bijection ψ from the set of morphisms in $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$ to the group G such that $(\sigma)\psi \circ (\tau)\psi = (\tau \circ \sigma)\psi$ where σ and τ are morphisms in $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Proof. By Proposition 20, $v\mathcal{R}(T\mathcal{L}(S))$ is the set of all left cosets of G in G^Λ and Theorem 2, $\mathcal{R}(T\mathcal{L}(S))$ is isomorphic to $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$ as normal categories. Hence the normal dual $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$ of $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is the category whose objects are the left cosets of G in G^Λ with morphisms σ_h described as follows.
Recall that by Theorem 2, to every morphism $\sigma : H(\gamma_1; -) \to H(\gamma_2; -)$ in $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$, there is a unique $\hat{\sigma} : c_{\gamma_2} \to c_{\gamma_1}$ in $\mathcal{L}(S)$ such that the component of the natural transformation σ at $Se \in v\mathcal{L}(S)$ is the map given by $\sigma(Se) : \gamma_1 * f^\circ \mapsto \gamma_2 * (\hat{\sigma} f)^\circ$. Hence if $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)$ and $\gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l)$, corresponding to the morphism $\sigma : \bar{\gamma_1} G \to \bar{\gamma_2} G$, there exists a unique morphism $\rho(h) : \bar{\lambda_l} \to \bar{\lambda_k}$, such that for $\bar{\gamma_1} g \in \bar{\gamma_1} G$ and for some $\rho(g) : \bar{\lambda_k} \to \bar{\lambda}$

$$\sigma(\bar{\lambda}) : \bar{\gamma_1} g \mapsto \bar{\gamma_2} hg.$$

We will denote this morphism $\sigma : \bar{\gamma_1} G \to \bar{\gamma_2} G$ by σ_h. Also given $h \in G$, we get a morphism $\sigma_h : \bar{\gamma_1} G \to \bar{\gamma_2} G$ as $\sigma_h : \bar{\gamma_1} g \mapsto \bar{\gamma_2} hg$. And hence the map $\psi : \sigma_h \mapsto h$ is a bijection from $N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$ to G.
Now if $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k)$, $\gamma_2 = (\bar{\gamma_2}, \lambda_l)$ and $\gamma_3 = (\bar{\gamma_3}, \lambda_m)$, for $\sigma_h : \bar{\gamma_1} G \to \bar{\gamma_2} G$ and $\sigma_k : \bar{\gamma_2} G \to \bar{\gamma_3} G$, $(\bar{\gamma_1} g)\sigma_h \circ \sigma_k = (\bar{\gamma_2} hg)\sigma_k = \bar{\gamma_3} khg$.
Also $(\bar{\gamma_1} g)\sigma_{kh} = \bar{\gamma_3} khg$ (by the uniqueness of $\rho(kh) : \bar{\lambda_m} \to \bar{\lambda_k}$).
So $\sigma_h \circ \sigma_k = \sigma_{kh}$ and thus $(\sigma_h \circ \sigma_k)\psi = (\sigma_{kh})\psi = kh = (\sigma_k)\psi \circ (\sigma_h)\psi$.
Hence the result. ☐

Observe that the $\mathscr{R}$ relation of $\gamma_1 = (\bar{\gamma_1}, \lambda_k) \in T\mathcal{L}(S)$ depends only on $\bar{\gamma_1}$ and not on λ_k and so we will denote the $\mathscr{R}$ classes of $T\mathcal{L}(S)$ by $R_{\bar{\gamma}}$ such that $\bar{\gamma} \in G^\Lambda$.

Remark 23. By Remark 10, we can use the above discussion to characterize the normal categories $\mathcal{R}(S)$ and $N^*\mathcal{R}(S)$. Any principal right ideal of S will be of the form $G \times \{i\} \times \Lambda$ such that $i \in I$. And so

$$v\mathcal{R}(S) = \{G \times \{i\} \times \Lambda : i \in I\}.$$

Henceforth we will denote the right ideal $(a, i, \lambda)S = G \times \{i\} \times \Lambda$ by $\bar{i}$ and the set $v\mathcal{R}(S)$ will be denoted by $\bar{I}$. Any morphism in $\mathcal{R}(S)$ from $eS = \bar{i_1}$ to $fS = \bar{i_2}$ is of the form $\kappa(e, u, f)$ where $u \in fSe$. As argued for $\mathcal{L}(S)$, any morphism from $\bar{i_1}$ to $\bar{i_2}$ maps $(h, i_1, \lambda) \mapsto (gh, i_2, \lambda)$ for $g \in G$ and the set of morphisms from $\bar{i_1}$ to $\bar{i_2}$ is

$$\mathcal{R}(S)(\bar{i_1}, \bar{i_2}) = \{(h, i_1, \lambda) \mapsto (gh, i_2, \lambda) : g \in G\}.$$

But since the translation is on the left, the set of morphisms from $\bar{i_1}$ to $\bar{i_2}$ is bijective with the group G^{op} and each $g \in G$ will map $(h, i_1, \lambda) \mapsto (gh, i_2, \lambda)$. Henceforth we will denote this morphism as $\kappa(g)$ when there is no ambiguity regarding the domain and range.
Proceeding the same way as for $\mathcal{L}(S)$, we can show that $T\mathcal{R}(S)$ the semigroup of normal cones in $\mathcal{R}(S)$ is isomorphic to $(G^I \ltimes I)^{\mathrm{op}}$ the opposite of semi-direct product of G^I and I with respect to the left action defined as follows.

$$i_k * (g_1, g_2, ...) \; = \; (g_k, g_k, ...)$$

And hence given $\delta_1 = (\bar{\delta_1}, i_k)$, $\delta_2 = (\bar{\delta_2}, i_l) \in G^I \times I = T\mathcal{R}(S)$, the multiplication is defined as

$$\delta_1 * \delta_2 = (\bar{g_k}.\bar{\delta_1}, i_l) \tag{10}$$

where $g_k = \bar{\delta_2}(\lambda_k)$ and $\bar{g_k} = (g_k, g_k, ...) \in G^I$.
We also see that the normal dual $N^*\mathcal{R}(S)$ is isomorphic to G^I/G, the **right** cosets of G in G^I and a morphism denoted by $\tau_h : G\bar{\delta_1} \to G\bar{\delta_2}$ maps $g\bar{\delta_1} \mapsto gh\bar{\delta_2}$. And consequently the set of morphisms is bijective with the group G.

4 Cross-connections of completely simple semigroups

We have characterized the normal categories associated with a completely simple semigroup. Now we proceed to construct completely simple semigroup as the cross-connection semigroup using the appropriate *local isomorphisms* between the categories.
Firstly, recall that any cross-connection Γ from $\mathcal{R}(S) \to N^*\mathcal{L}(S)$ is a local isomorphism such that for every $c \in v\mathcal{L}(S)$, there is some $d \in v\mathcal{R}(S)$ such that $c \in M\Gamma(d)$ .ie Γ is a local isomorphism from $\bar{I} \to G^\Lambda/G$ such that for every $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\Lambda}$, there is some $\bar{i} \in \bar{I}$ such that $\bar{\lambda} \in M\Gamma(\bar{i})$.
We define $\Gamma : \bar{I} \to G^\Lambda/G$ such that

$$v\Gamma : \bar{i} \mapsto a_i G \qquad \Gamma : \ \kappa(g) \mapsto \sigma_g \tag{11}$$

for any $a_i \in G^\Lambda$.

Proposition 24. The functor Γ defined in equation 11 is a local isomorphism.

Proof. Firstly if $\bar{i_1} = \bar{i_2}$, then $i_1 = i_2$ and hence $v\Gamma$ is well-defined. Also if $\kappa(g_1) = \kappa(g_2)$; then $g_1 = g_2$ and hence Γ is well-defined on morphisms as well. Also $\Gamma(\kappa(g_1).\kappa(g_2)) = \Gamma(\kappa(g_2 g_1)) = \sigma_{g_2 g_1} = \sigma_{g_1}.\sigma_{g_2}$. Hence Γ is a well-defined covariant functor.
Since there are no non trivial inclusions in either categories, Γ is trivially inclusion preserving. Since the set of morphisms between any two objects is bijective with G^{op} in both cases, Γ is fully-faithful. Further since there are no non trivial inclusions, the ideal $\langle\bar{i}\rangle$ is $\bar{i}$ and so Γ on $\langle\bar{i}\rangle$ is an isomorphism. Hence Γ is a local isomorphism. ☐

Corollary 25. Γ as defined in equation 11 is a cross-connection.

Proof. By Proposition 24, Γ is a local isomorphism. Since $\bar{\Lambda}$ is a groupoid, $M\Gamma(\bar{i}) = \bar{\Lambda}$ for every $\bar{i} \in \bar{I}$. And so for every $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\Lambda}$, there is a $\bar{i} \in \bar{I}$ (infact for every $\bar{i} \in \bar{I}$) such that $\bar{\lambda} \in M\Gamma(\bar{i})$. Hence Γ is a cross-connection. ☐

Theorem 26. Each cross-connection Γ in a completely simple semigroup determines a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix A with entries from the group G such that $\Gamma(\bar{i}) = A_i$ where A_i is the $i-$th column of A.

Proof. Given a cross-connection $\Gamma : \bar{I} \to G^\Lambda/G$ such that $v\Gamma : \bar{i} \mapsto a_i G$ where $a_i \in G^\Lambda$, let A be an $\Lambda \times I$ matrix whose columns are a_i for $i \in I$. Since $a_i \in G^\Lambda$, we have $a_i(\lambda) = a_{\lambda i} \in G$. Then A is a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix with entries in G. ☐

Proposition 27. Similarly a dual cross-connection $\Delta : \bar{\Lambda} \to G^I/G$ can be defined as follows.

$$v\Delta : \bar{\lambda} \mapsto Gb_\lambda \qquad \Delta : \ \rho(g) \mapsto \tau_g \tag{12}$$

for any $b_\lambda \in G^I$. And this gives rise to a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix B.

Proof. As in the proof of propositions 24, Δ is a fully-faithful, inclusion preserving covariant functor. And since there are no non trivial inclusions, $\langle\bar{\lambda}\rangle = \bar{\lambda}$ and hence $\Delta_{\langle\bar{\lambda}\rangle}$ is an isomorphism. Also since $M\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}) = \bar{I}$ for every $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\Lambda}$, Δ is a cross-connection. Further defining the λ-th row B_λ of the matrix B as $B_\lambda = b_\lambda$, B will be an $\Lambda \times I$ matrix with entries from the group G. ☐

We have seen that cross-connections in a completely simple semigroup correspond to matrices. Now we proceed to show that given a matrix P we can define a cross-connection and its dual. We construct the cross-connection semigroup of this connection and show that it is isomorphic to the completely simple semigroup $\mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$. For that end, we need the following results.

Proposition 28. Given a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix P with entries from the group G, we define $\Gamma : \bar{I} \to G^{\Lambda}/G$ such that

$$v\Gamma : \bar{i} \mapsto p_i G \qquad \Gamma : \ \kappa(g) \mapsto \sigma_g \tag{13}$$

where p_i is the i-th column of the matrix P. This gives a cross-connection such that the functor defined by $\Delta : \bar{\Lambda} \to G^{I}/G$ as follows is the dual connection.

$$v\Delta : \bar{\lambda} \mapsto G\bar{p_\lambda} \qquad \Delta : \ \rho(g) \mapsto \tau_g \tag{14}$$

where $\bar{p_\lambda}$ is the λ-th row of P.

Proof. The proof follows from the proof of Proposition 24, Corollary 25 and Proposition 27. ☐

Given a cross-connection and a dual, there are bi-functors associated with each of them. The following lemma characterizes the bi-functors associated with the above cross-connections Γ and Δ.

Lemma 29. The bi-functors $\Gamma(-,-)$ and $\Delta(-,-)$ associated with the above cross-connection are defined as follows.
For $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) \in \bar{\Lambda} \times \bar{I}$

$$\Gamma(-,-) : \bar{\Lambda} \times \bar{I} \to \mathbf{Set},\ \Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) = (p_i G, \lambda) \tag{15}$$

and for $\rho(g_2) : \bar{\lambda_1} \to \bar{\lambda_2}, \qquad \kappa(g_1) : \bar{i_1} \to \bar{i_2}$

$$\Gamma(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) : (p_{i_1} g, \lambda_1) \mapsto (p_{i_2} g_1 g g_2, \lambda_2).$$

Also for $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) \in \bar{\Lambda} \times \bar{I}$

$$\Delta(-,-) : \bar{\Lambda} \times \bar{I} \to \mathbf{Set},\ \Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) = (G\bar{p_\lambda}, i) \tag{16}$$

and for $\rho(g_2) : \bar{\lambda_1} \to \bar{\lambda_2}, \qquad \kappa(g_1) : \bar{i_1} \to \bar{i_2}$

$$\Delta(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) : (g\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_1) \mapsto (g_1 g g_2 \bar{p_{\lambda_2}}, i_2).$$

Proof. Recall from Remark 4 that given a cross-connection $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to N^*\mathcal{C}$, we have a unique bifunctor $\Gamma(-,-) : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbf{Set}$ defined by $\Gamma(c,d) = \Gamma(d)(c)$ and $\Gamma(f,g) = (\Gamma(d)(f))(\Gamma(g)(c')) = (\Gamma(g)(c))(\Gamma(d')(f))$ for all $(c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}$ and $(f,g) : (c,d) \to (c',d')$. So given the cross-connection $\Gamma : \bar{I} \to G^{\Lambda}/G$ as defined in equation 13, $\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) = \Gamma(\bar{i})(\bar{\lambda}) = (p_i G, \lambda)$.
And given $(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) : (\bar{\lambda_1}, \bar{i_1}) \to (\bar{\lambda_2}, \bar{i_2})$,

$$\Gamma(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) = (\Gamma(\bar{i_1})(\rho(g_2)))(\Gamma(\kappa(g_1))(\bar{\lambda_2})) = (p_{i_1} G(\rho(g_2)))(\sigma_{g_1}(\bar{\lambda_2})).$$

And so for $\gamma = (p_{i_1} g, \lambda_1) \in \Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1}, \bar{i_1})$,

$$((p_{i_1} g, \lambda_1))\ (p_{i_1} G(\rho(g_2)))(\sigma_{g_1}(\bar{\lambda_2})) = (p_{i_1} g g_2, \lambda_2)\sigma_{g_1}(\bar{\lambda_2}) = (p_{i_2} g_1 g g_2, \lambda_2).$$

Also observe that $((p_{i_1} g, \lambda_1))(\Gamma(\kappa(g_1))(\bar{\lambda_1}))(\Gamma(\bar{i_2})(\rho(g_2))) \ = (p_{i_2} g_1 g g_2, \lambda_2)$.
Hence $\Gamma(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) : (p_{i_1} g, \lambda_1) \mapsto (p_{i_2} g_1 g g_2, \lambda_2)$.
Similarly corresponding to $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \to N^*\mathcal{D}$, we have $\Delta(-,-) : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbf{Set}$ defined by $\Delta(c,d) = \Delta(c)(d)$ and $\Delta(f,g) = (\Delta(c)(g))(\Delta(f)(d')) = (\Delta(f)(d))(\Delta(c')(g))$ for all $(c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}$ and $(f,g) : (c,d) \to (c',d')$. So given the dual cross-connection $\Delta : \bar{\Lambda} \to G^{I}/G$ as defined in equation 12,

$$\Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) = \Delta(\bar{\lambda})(\bar{i}) = (G\bar{p_\lambda}, i).$$

And given $(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) : (\bar{\lambda_1}, \bar{i_1}) \to (\bar{\lambda_2}, \bar{i_2})$,

$$\Delta(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) = (\Delta(\bar{\lambda_1})(\kappa(g_1)))(\Delta(\rho(g_2))(\bar{i_2})) = (G\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}(\kappa(g_1)))(\tau_{g_2}(\bar{i_2})).$$

And so for $\delta = (g\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_1) \in \Delta(\bar{\lambda_1}, \bar{i_1})$,

$$((g\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_1))\ (G\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}(\kappa(g_1)))(\tau_{g_2}(\bar{i_2})) = (g_1 g\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_2)\tau_{g_2}(\bar{i_2}) = (g_1 g g_2 \bar{p_{\lambda_2}}, i_2).$$

Also $((g\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_1))(\Delta(\rho(g_2))(\bar{i_1}))(\Delta(\bar{\lambda_2})(\kappa(g_1)) \ = (g_1 g g_2 \bar{p_{\lambda_2}}, i_2)$. Hence the lemma. ☐

Given a cross-connection, we can associate two regular semigroups $U\Gamma$ and $U\Delta$ with them. The following lemma describes these semigroups.

Lemma 30. Let Γ be the cross-connection of $\mathcal{R}(S)$ with $\mathcal{L}(S)$ of the completely simple semigroup S as defined in equation 13. Then

$$U\Gamma = \{(\bar{\gamma}, \lambda) \in G^{\Lambda} \times \Lambda \; : \; \bar{\gamma} = p_i g \text{ for some } g \in G \text{ and } i \in I; \; \lambda \in \Lambda\} \tag{17a}$$
$$U\Delta = \{(\bar{\delta}, i) \in G^{I} \times I \; : \; \bar{\delta} = g\bar{p_\lambda} \text{ for some } g \in G \text{ and } \lambda \in \Lambda; \; i \in I\}. \tag{17b}$$

And $U\Gamma$ and $U\Delta$ form regular subsemigroups of $G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda$ and $(G^{I} \ltimes I)^{\mathrm{op}}$ respectively.

Proof. Recall that given a cross-connection Γ of $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{C}$, $U\Gamma = \bigcup\{ \quad \Gamma(c,d) : (c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}\}$ and $U\Delta = \bigcup\{ \quad \Delta(c,d) : (c,d) \in v\mathcal{C} \times v\mathcal{D}\}$.
Since $\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) = (p_i G, \lambda) = \{(p_i g, \lambda) \; : \; g \in G\}$,
$U\Gamma = \bigcup\{(p_i g, \lambda) \; : \; g \in G \text{ and } (\lambda, i) \in \Lambda \times I\} = \{(p_i g, \lambda) \; : \; g \in G, i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Hence

$$U\Gamma = \{(\bar{\gamma}, \lambda) \in G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda \; : \; \bar{\gamma} = p_i g \text{ for some } g \in G \text{ and } i \in I; \; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

Now given $a = (p_{i_a} g_a, \lambda_a), b = (p_{i_b} g_b, \lambda_b) \in U\Gamma$,
$(p_{i_a} g_a, \lambda_a).(p_{i_b} g_b, \lambda_b) = (p_{i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b, \lambda_b)$. Since $g_a p_{\lambda_a i_b} g_b = g' \in G$, we have $a.b = (p_{i_a} g', \lambda_b) \in U\Gamma$. So $U\Gamma$ is a subsemigroup of $G^{\Lambda} \ltimes \Lambda$.
Also given $a = (p_{i_a} g_a, \lambda_a)$, if we take $b = (p_{i_a}(p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a})^{-1}, \lambda_a)$
then $aba = (p_{i_a} g_a, \lambda_a)(p_{i_a}(p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a})^{-1}, \lambda_a)(p_{i_a} g_a, \lambda_a)$
$= (p_{i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a}(p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a})^{-1}, \lambda_a)(p_{i_a} g_a, \lambda_a) = (p_{i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a}(p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a})^{-1} p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a, \lambda_a)$
$= (p_{i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a} p_{\lambda_a i_a}^{-1} g_a^{-1} p_{\lambda_a i_a}^{-1} p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a, \lambda_a) = (p_{i_a} g_a (p_{\lambda_a i_a} p_{\lambda_a i_a}^{-1}) g_a^{-1} (p_{\lambda_a i_a}^{-1} p_{\lambda_a i_a}) g_a, \lambda_a)$
$= (p_{i_a} g_a g_a^{-1} g_a, \lambda_a) = (p_{i_a}(g_a g_a^{-1}) g_a, \lambda_a) = (p_{i_a} g_a, \lambda_a) = a$.
Hence $a^{-1} = (p_{i_a}(p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a})^{-1}, \lambda_a)$ and $U\Gamma$ is regular.
Similarly since $\Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) = (G\bar{p_\lambda}, i) = \{(g\bar{p_\lambda}, i) \; : \; g \in G\}$, $U\Delta = \bigcup\{(g\bar{p_\lambda}, i) \; : \; g \in G \text{ and } (\lambda, i) \in \Lambda \times I\} = \{(g\bar{p_\lambda}, i) \; : \; g \in G, i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Hence

$$U\Delta = \{(\bar{\delta}, i) \in G^{I} \ltimes I \; : \; \bar{\delta} = g\bar{p_\lambda} \text{ for some } g \in G \text{ and } \lambda \in \Lambda; \; i \in I\}.$$

Now given $a = (g_a \bar{p_{\lambda_a}}, i_a), b = (g_b \bar{p_{\lambda_b}}, i_b) \in U\Delta$, taking $g_b p_{\lambda_b i_a} g_a = g' \in G$, we have $a.b = (g' \bar{p_{\lambda_a}}, i_b) \in U\Delta$ and $U\Delta$ is a subgroup of $(G^{I} \ltimes I)^{\mathrm{op}}$.
Also given $a = (g_a \bar{p_{\lambda_a}}, i_a)$, if we take $b = ((p_{\lambda_a i_a} g_a p_{\lambda_a i_a})^{-1} \bar{p_{\lambda_a}}, i_a)$, then $aba = a$ and hence $U\Delta$ is regular.
Hence the lemma. $\square$

For a cross-connection Γ and the associated bi-functors $\Gamma(-,-)$ and $\Delta(-,-)$, we can associate a natural isomorphism between them called the duality χ_Γ. The following lemma describes the duality associated with the cross-connection Γ.

Lemma 31. Given the cross-connection Γ as defined in equation 13, the duality $\chi_\Gamma : \Gamma(-,-) \to \Delta(-,-)$ associated with Γ is given by

$$\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) : (p_i g, \lambda) \mapsto (g\bar{p_\lambda}, i) \tag{18}$$

Proof. To show that χ_Γ is the duality associated with S, we firstly show that the map $\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})$ is a bijection of the set $\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})$ onto the set $\Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})$. But since $\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})$ is the set $(p_i G, \lambda) = \{(p_i g, \lambda) \; : \; g \in G\}$, $|\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})| = |G|$. Similarly $\Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})$ is the set $(g\bar{p_\lambda}, i) = \{(g\bar{p_\lambda}, i) \; : \; g \in G\}$ and so $|\Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})| = |G|$. Hence $|\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})| = |\Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})|$ and hence the map $\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i})$ is a bijection.
Now we show that χ_Γ is a natural isomorphism. Let $(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) \in \mathcal{L}(S) \times \mathcal{R}(S)$ with $\rho(g_2) : \bar{\lambda_1} \to \bar{\lambda_2}$ and $\kappa(g_1) : \bar{i_1} \to \bar{i_2}$. Then for $a = (p_{i_1} g_a, \lambda_1) \in \Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1}, \bar{i_1})$,

$$((p_{i_1} g_a, \lambda_1))\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1}, \bar{i_1})\Delta(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) = ((g_a \bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_1))\Delta(\rho(g_2), \kappa(g_1)) = (g_1 g_a g_2 \bar{p_{\lambda_2}}, i_2).$$

Also

$$((p_{i_1}g_a,\lambda_1))\Gamma(\rho(g_2),\kappa(g_1))\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_2},\bar{i_2}) = ((p_{i_2}g_1g_ag_2,\lambda_2))\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_2},\bar{i_2}) = (g_1g_ag_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}},i_2).$$

Hence $(a)\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1},\bar{i_1})\Delta(\rho(g_2),\kappa(g_1)) = (a)\Gamma(\rho(g_2),\kappa(g_1))\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_2},\bar{i_2}) \quad \forall a\in\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1},\bar{i_1})$ and so χ_Γ is a natural isomorphism. And χ_Γ is the duality associated with S. ☐

For a cross-connection Γ, we consider the pairs of linked cones $(\gamma,\delta)\in U\Gamma\times U\Delta$ inorder to construct the cross-connection semigroup. The following lemma gives the characterization of the linked cones in terms of the cross-connection.

Proposition 32. $\gamma=(\bar\gamma,\lambda)\in U\Gamma$ is linked to $\delta=(\bar\delta,i)\in U\Delta$ if and only if $\bar\gamma\in\Gamma(\bar i)$, $\bar\delta\in\Delta(\bar\lambda)$ and $({p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma)_1=(\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1})_1$ where p_i is the i-th column and $\bar{p_\lambda}$ is the λ-th row of P.

Proof. Firstly observe that ${p_i}^{-1}=({p_{\lambda_1 i}}^{-1},{p_{\lambda_2 i}}^{-1},...)$ and ${\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1}=({p_{\lambda i_1}}^{-1},{p_{\lambda i_2}}^{-1},...)$; and hence ${p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma\in G^\Lambda$ and $\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1}\in G^I$. Thus $({p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma)_1$ and $(\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1})_1$ denote ${p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma(\lambda_1)$ and $\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1}(\lambda_1)$ respectively.
Now recall that $\gamma\in U\Gamma$ is linked to $\delta\in U\Delta$ if there is a $(\bar\lambda,\bar i)\in\bar\Lambda\times\bar I$ such that $\gamma\in\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)$ and $\delta=\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(\gamma)$. If $\gamma=(p_ig,\lambda)$ is linked to δ, then there is a $(\bar\lambda,\bar i)\in\bar\Lambda\times\bar I$ such that $\gamma\in\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)$ and $\delta=\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(\gamma)$. Then $\gamma=(p_ig,\lambda)\in\Gamma(\bar i)(\bar\lambda)$ and $\Gamma(\bar i)=p_iG$. Thus $\bar\gamma=p_ig\in\Gamma(\bar i)$. Also since $\delta=\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(\gamma)=\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(p_ig,\lambda)=(gp_\lambda,i)\in\Delta(\bar\lambda)(\bar i)$ and $\Delta(\bar\lambda)=Gp_\lambda$, $\bar\delta=gp_\lambda\in\Delta(\bar\lambda)$. Now ${p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma={p_i}^{-1}p_ig=({p_{\lambda_1 i}}^{-1}p_{\lambda_1 i}g,{p_{\lambda_2 i}}^{-1}p_{\lambda_2 i}g,...)=(g,g,...)$; and hence $({p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma)_1=g$. Similarly since $\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1}=(g\bar{p_\lambda}{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1})=(g,g,...)$, $(\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1})_1=g$ and hence $({p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma)_1=(\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1})_1$.
Conversely if $\bar\gamma\in\Gamma(\bar i)$, $\bar\delta\in\Delta(\bar\lambda)$ and $({p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma)_1=(\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1})_1$, then since $\bar\gamma\in\Gamma(\bar i)$, $\gamma=(\bar\gamma,\lambda)\in\Gamma(\bar i)(\bar\lambda)=\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)$. Also since $\bar\delta\in\Delta(\bar\lambda)$, $\delta=(\bar\delta,i)\in\Delta(\lambda)(i)=\Delta(\bar\lambda,\bar i)$; and hence $\delta=(g_1\bar{p_\lambda},i)$ for some $g_1\in G$. Now if $\gamma=(p_ig,\lambda)$, then $\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(\gamma)=\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(p_ig,\lambda)=(g\bar{p_\lambda},i)$. Now since $({p_i}^{-1}\bar\gamma)_1=(\bar\delta{\bar{p_\lambda}}^{-1})_1$, $(\bar\delta)_1=p_{1i}^{-1}(\bar\gamma)_1p_{\lambda 1}=p_{1i}^{-1}(p_{1i}g)p_{\lambda 1}=gp_{\lambda 1}$. But since $(\bar\delta)_1=(g_1p_{\lambda 1})$, we have $g_1p_{\lambda 1}=gp_{\lambda 1}$, and hence $g_1=g$. Thus $\delta=(g\bar{p_\lambda},i)=\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(\gamma)$ and γ is linked to δ. ☐

Now we proceed to give an alternate description of the linked cones in terms of the components which will lead us to the representation of the completely simple semigroup as a cross-connection semigroup.

Lemma 33. $\gamma=(p_{i_1}g_1,\lambda_1)\in U\Gamma$ is linked to $\delta=(g_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}},i_2)\in U\Delta$ if and only if $i_1=i_2,\lambda_1=\lambda_2$ and $g_1=g_2$. Hence a linked cone pair will be of the form $((\bar\gamma,\lambda),(\bar\delta,i))$ for $i\in I,\lambda\in\Lambda$ and $\bar\gamma=p_ig$ and $\bar\delta=g\bar{p_\lambda}$ for some $g\in G$.

Proof. As above, $\gamma\in U\Gamma$ is linked to $\delta\in U\Delta$ if there is a $(\bar\lambda,\bar i)\in\bar\Lambda\times\bar I$ such that $\gamma\in\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)$ and $\delta=\chi_\Gamma(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(\gamma)$. Also recall that $\gamma\in U\Gamma$ is linked to $\delta\in U\Delta$ with respect to Γ if and only if δ is linked to γ with respect to Δ .ie there is a $(\bar\lambda,\bar i)\in\bar\Lambda\times\bar I$ such that $\delta\in\Delta(\bar\lambda,\bar i)$ and $\gamma=\chi_\Delta(\bar\lambda,\bar i)(\delta)$ where $\chi_\Delta=\chi_{\Gamma^{-1}}:\Delta(-,-)\to\Gamma(-,-)$.
Now firstly, if $i_1=i_2,\lambda_1=\lambda_2$ and $g_1=g_2$, then $\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1},\bar{i_1})(\gamma)=\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1},\bar{i_1})(p_{i_1}g_1,\lambda_1)=(g_1\bar{p_{\lambda_1}},i_1)=(g_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}},i_2)=\delta$ and hence γ is linked to δ.
Conversely if γ is linked to δ, then $\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1},\bar{i_1})(\gamma)=\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda_1},\bar{i_1})(p_{i_1}g_1,\lambda_1)=(g_1\bar{p_{\lambda_1}},i_1)=\delta=(g_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}},i_2)$. .ie $(g_1\bar{p_{\lambda_1}},i_1)=(g_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}},i_2)$. And since δ will be linked to γ, we also have $(p_{i_1}g_1,\lambda_1)=(p_{i_2}g_2,\lambda_2)$.
Comparing the equations, we have $i_1=i_2$, $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$ and $p_{\lambda_k i_1}g_1=p_{\lambda_k i_2}g_2$ for every $k\in\Lambda$. Since $i_1=i_2$ and in particular for $k=1$, we have $p_{\lambda_1 i_1}g_1=p_{\lambda_1 i_1}g_2$. So $g_1=g_2$ and hence the proof.
The second statement is now straight forward. ☐

Earlier we showed that a cross-connection determines a matrix. Now we are in a position to show that given a matrix P, we can define a cross-connection and use it to construct a cross-connection semigroup which will be isomorphic to the completely simple semigroup $\mathscr{M}[G;I,\Lambda;P]$.

Theorem 34. Given a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix P with entries from the group G, we can define a cross-connection Γ. And the cross-connection semigroup $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the completely simple semigroup $S = \mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$.

Proof. Given a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix P with entries from the group G, define Γ as defined in equations 13 and 14. By Proposition 28, it is a cross-connection. Now recall that the cross-connection semigroup $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ of linked cone pairs is given by

$$\tilde{S}\Gamma = \{\ (\gamma, \delta) \in U\Gamma \times U\Delta : (\gamma, \delta) \text{ is linked }\ \}$$

with the binary operation defined by

$$(\gamma, \delta) \circ (\gamma', \delta') = (\gamma.\gamma', \delta'.\delta)$$

for all $(\gamma, \delta), (\gamma', \delta') \in \tilde{S}\Gamma$. Firstly from Lemma 33; a linked cone pair will be of the form $((p_i g, \lambda), (g p_\lambda, i))$ for $g \in G, i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda$. Thus

$$\tilde{S}\Gamma = \{((p_i g, \lambda), (g\bar{p_\lambda}, i)) \ : \ g \in G, i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \tag{19}$$

with the binary operation $\circ$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} ((p_{i_1}g_1, \lambda_1), (g_1\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_1)) \circ ((p_{i_2}g_2, \lambda_2), (g_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}}, i_2)) = \\ ((p_{i_1}g_1p_{\lambda_1 i_2}g_2, \lambda_2), (g_1p_{\lambda_1 i_2}g_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}}, i_1)) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Observe here that the multiplication in the second component is taken in the opposite order .ie in $U\Delta^{\text{op}}$. Now we will show that $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the completely simple semigroup $S = \mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$.
For that define a map $\varphi : S \to \tilde{S}\Gamma$ as follows.

$$(g, i, \lambda)\varphi = ((p_i g, \lambda), (g\bar{p_\lambda}, i)) \tag{21}$$

Clearly φ is well-defined and is onto. The fact that φ is a homomorphism follows from the proof of Proposition 17 and its dual; by observing that $((p_i g, \lambda), (g\bar{p_\lambda}, i)) = (\rho^{(g,i,\lambda)}, \lambda^{(g,i,\lambda)})$. Now to see that it is injective, suppose if $(g_1, i_1, \lambda_1)\varphi = (g_2, i_2, \lambda_2)\varphi$. Then $((p_{i_1}g_1, \lambda_1), (g_1\bar{p_{\lambda_1}}, i_1)) = ((p_{i_2}g_2, \lambda_2), (g_2\bar{p_{\lambda_2}}, i_2))$. Comparing the vertices, we see that $i_1 = i_2$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. We also have $p_{i_1}g_1 = p_{i_2}g_2$ .ie $p_{\lambda_k i_1}g_1 = p_{\lambda_k i_2}g_2$ for every k, and in particular for $k = 1$ .ie $p_{\lambda_1 i_1}g_1 = p_{\lambda_1 i_2}g_2$. And since $i_1 = i_2$, we have $p_{\lambda_1 i_1}g_1 = p_{\lambda_1 i_1}g_2 \implies g_1 = g_2$. Thus $(g_1, i_1, \lambda_1) = (g_2, i_2, \lambda_2)$ and hence φ is injective. Thus $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the completely simple semigroup $S = \mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$. ☐

Thus the semigroup $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ as defined in equation 19 is a representation of the completely simple semigroup S, wherein each element (g, i, λ) of the semigroup is represented as a pair of normal cones $((p_i g, \lambda), (g p_\lambda, i))$ and multiplication is a semi-direct product multiplication on each component (see equation 20). We conclude by giving a special case of the result using which one can construct a rectangular band of groups.

Corollary 35. We can define a cross-connection $\Gamma : \bar{I} \to G^\Lambda/G$ such that

$$v\Gamma : \bar{i} \mapsto G \qquad \Gamma :\ \kappa(g) \mapsto \sigma_g \tag{22}$$

with the dual connection defined by $\Delta : \bar{\Lambda} \to G^I/G$

$$v\Delta : \bar{\lambda} \mapsto G \qquad \Delta :\ \rho(g) \mapsto \tau_g. \tag{23}$$

Then

$$U\Gamma = \{(\bar{g}, \lambda) \ : \ g \in G, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \text{ and } U\Delta = \{(\bar{g}, i) \ : \ g \in G, i \in I\}.$$

where $\bar{g} = (g, g, g, ...)$. Then the duality $\chi_\Gamma : \Gamma(-,-) \to \Delta(-,-)$ associated with S is given by

$$\chi_\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{i}) : (\bar{g}, \lambda) \mapsto (\bar{g}, i) \tag{24}$$

And consequently $\gamma = (\bar{g_1}, \lambda) \in U\Gamma$ is linked to $\delta = (\bar{g_2}, i) \in U\Delta \iff g_1 = g_2$.
Further $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ for this cross-connection is given by

$$\tilde{S}\Gamma = \{((\bar{g}, \lambda), (\bar{g}, i)) \ : \ g \in G, i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \tag{25}$$

with the binary operation $\circ$

$$((\bar{g_1}, \lambda_1), (\bar{g_1}, i_1)) \circ ((\bar{g_2}, \lambda_2), (\bar{g_2}, i_2)) \ = \ ((\overline{g_1 g_2}, \lambda_2), (\overline{g_1 g_2}, i_1)). \tag{26}$$

Then $\tilde{S}\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the rectangular band of groups $S = G \times I \times \Lambda$.

Proof. The corollary follows directly from the earlier results on completely simple semigroup $\mathscr{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ by taking the sandwich matrix P as $p_{\lambda i} = e$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $i \in I$ where e is the identity element of the group G. ☐

References

[1] A H Clifford and G B Preston (1961) *The Algebraic theory of semigroups - Vol I* . Math Surveys No.7, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.

[2] P A Grillet (1974) *Structure of regular semigroups I. A representation II. Cross connections III. The reduced case.* Semigroup Forum, 8, pp 177-183; pp 254-259; pp 260-265.

[3] T E Hall (1973) *On regular semigroups.* J.Algebra, 24, pp 1-24.

[4] J M Howie (1995) *Fundamentals of Semigroup theory* . Clarendon Press, Oxford .

[5] S Mac Lane (1971) *Categories for the working mathematician.* Springer Verlag, New York .

[6] K S S Nambooripad (1979) *Structure of regular semigroups I* . Memoirs of American Mathematical Society , 224.

[7] K S S Nambooripad (1994) *Theory of Cross-connections.* Publication No.28 - Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Trivandrum.

[8] D Rees (1940) *On semigroups.* Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 36, pp 387-400.